JEFFREY H. WOOD,

Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice

SHAUN M. PETTIGREW, Trial Attorney

JOHN S. MOST, Trial Attorney

Natural Resources Section

P.O. Box 7611

Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

Phone: (202) 305-3895 (Pettigrew) Phone: (202) 616-3353 (Most)

Fax: (202) 305-0506

Counsel for Federal Defendants

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA GREAT FALLS DIVISION

WESTERN ORGANIZATION OF	
RESOURCE COUNCILS, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,) Case No. 4:16-cv-00021-BMM
V.)
) FEDERAL DEFENDANTS'
U.S. BUREAU OF LAND) MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SEEK
MANAGEMENT, an agency) RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER
within the U.S. Department of the	
Interior, et al.,)
Federal Defendants,))
and)
CLOUD PEAK ENERGY, INC., et. al.,))
Intervenor Defendants.)

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.3(a), Federal Defendants move for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Opinion and Amended Order (Order) dated March 26, 2018, ECF No. 111, under Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Rule 54(b) permits revision of an interlocutory order "any time before the entry of a judgment adjudicating all the claims and all the parties' rights and liabilities." Plaintiffs object to this motion. Intervenor-Defendants do not object to this motion.

Without entering judgment, the Order granted in part and denied in part
Federal Defendants' cross motion for summary judgment and held that the separate
final environmental impact statements supporting revisions to resource
management plans (RMPs) for the Buffalo field office in Wyoming and the Miles
City field office in Montana violated the National Environmental Policy Act
(NEPA) by (1) not considering an "alternative that would decrease the amount of
extractable coal available for leasing," (2) not considering "the environmental
consequences of the downstream combustion of the coal, oil, and gas resources
potentially open to development under the[] RMPs," and (3) using a global
warming potential factor based on a 100-year time horizon. *Id.* at 24, 35, 41.

To remedy the first holding, the Order stated that "NEPA requires [the Bureau of Land Management (BLM)] to conduct new coal screening and consider climate change impacts to make a reasoned decision on the amount of recoverable

coal made available in the RMPs." *Id.* at 46. Federal Defendants seek leave to file a motion to reconsider this portion of the Order. Rather than requiring BLM to consider an alternative under NEPA that identifies fewer areas as acceptable for further consideration for coal leasing, this portion of the Order requires BLM to conduct a substantive analysis under the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act. But Plaintiffs brought only NEPA claims and the Court found only NEPA violations. As such, the Order affirmatively enjoins BLM to take action under statutes that Plaintiffs have not challenged. *Cf. Monsanto Co. v. Geertson Seed Farms*, 561 U.S. 139, 157-58 (2010) (noting injunction does not automatically issue in NEPA cases).

Such a requirement constitutes new facts or applicable law under Local Rule 7.3(b) warranting leave to file a motion to reconsider. Alternatively, the Court should exercise its inherent power in the exercise of its discretionary authority over its docket to allow Federal Defendants to move for reconsideration for the reasons permitted by Rule 54(b). *See Davenport v. Idaho Dep't of Envtl. Quality*, No. 05-cv-054-E-LMB, 2007 WL 2021972, at *2 (D. Idaho July 11, 2007); *see also Am*.

¹ The Order required the parties to "meet and confer in a good faith attempt to reach an agreement on remedies" and provided for further briefing in the absence of such an agreement. Order at 52. But that portion of the Order addressed whether the record of decision should be vacated or whether coal, oil, or gas development should be enjoined pending completion of a supplemental environmental impact statement, not what supplemental analyses BLM must complete on remand. *Id.* at 48-51.

Rivers v. NOAA Fisheries, No. 04-cv-00061-RE, 2006 WL 1983178, at *2 (D. Or. July 14, 2006) (noting Rule 54(b) standard and "district court's inherent commonlaw authority to rescind or modify an interlocutory order." (citations omitted)).

Respectfully submitted on this 27th day of April, 2017.

JEFFREY H. WOOD

Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice

/s/ Shaun M. Pettigrew

SHAUN M. PETTIGREW
JOHN S. MOST
Trial Attorneys
Natural Resources Section
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044–7611

Phone: (202) 305-3895(Pettigrew) Phone: (202) 616-3353 (Most)

Fax: (202) 305–0506

shaun.pettigrew@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court via the CM/ECF system, which will provide service to counsel for the parties.

/s/ Shaun M. Pettigrew
SHAUN M. PETTIGREW
Counsel for Federal Defendants